

ROCKY VIEW COUNTY

WHAT WE HEARD
REPORT

Recreation in Springbank

July 2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary 3

Key Findings 5

Appendix A: Phases Information 16

Appendix B: Map Information 17

Appendix C: Open House Boards 19



EXECUTIVE **SUMMARY**

As part of Council-directed engagement, Rocky View County invited Springbank residents to share their perspectives on two proposed recreation projects: the South Springbank Community Event Centre and the Springbank Park For All Seasons Expansion Facility.

These projects aim to build on past planning work while ensuring that future recreation investments reflect community priorities, support long-term needs, and make responsible use of public land and funding.

Public feedback demonstrated strong community interest with 246 residents sharing feedback through an open house and online survey. Engagement participants provided thoughtful, constructive feedback, demonstrating strong community interest in seeing recreation projects move forward.

KEY THEMES

Amenities: Residents identified multi-use sport courts as the top priority (67%), followed by indoor turf, an indoor walking track, and outdoor natural trail walking areas (39%).

Timing: 61% of participants indicated a preference to construct the recreation centre first, reflecting a clear focus on youth- and family-oriented spaces.

Community Values: Responses emphasized the importance of timely action, with residents expressing a desire to see recreation move forward while maintaining alignment with Springbank's character and broader community needs.

Additional Amenities: Across several open-ended questions, residents consistently expressed interest in including a fitness/weight room, swimming pool, and ice rink in future planning.

Location Suitability: The 75-acre County-owned parcel was generally seen as a suitable location. Respondents highlighted mixed trade-offs between the two layout options, with some favouring the proximity of facilities in Option 1 and others preferring the preservation of ball diamonds in Option 2.

All feedback will be presented to Council on July 22, 2025, to help guide planning, funding, and next steps.

PUBLIC **PARTICIPATION**

As directed by Council, Rocky View County carried out a public engagement process to help finalize building footprint opportunities on County-owned land for two proposed projects: the Springbank Park For All Seasons Expansion Facility and the South Springbank Community Event Centre.

Engagement activities included an in-person open house held on June 19, 2025, and an online survey available from June 20 to July 6. To help ensure broad community reach and participation, the County partnered with the Springbank Community Association and the Springbank Park For All Seasons. The engagement was widely promoted through County communication channels, including social media, e-newsletters, and roadside signage.

Residents were invited to share their perspectives on several key areas:

- **General feedback on the two projects.**
- **What amenities they would use most.**
- **Location of proposed facilities**
- **Priorities for timing.**

In total,115 residents attended the open house, and 131 online surveys were completed along with 26 contributions to an online discussion forum. Overall, residents submitted a total of 1,065 comments.

1,065
Comments

131
Online Surveys

115
In-person attendees



KEY FINDINGS: **OVERALL**

Q: What should the County consider about the two business cases? (153 respondents)

Overall, respondents focused on aspects of the business cases, including the possibility of additional amenities. However, there was a call for the County to focus on recreational infrastructure prior to focusing on other aspects of the business case.

Theme	Indicative Quotes
Recreation and/or sports focused (22%)	*“I'd like to see the sports space take priority over the event space. Or to develop a space that can be used for both”* *“Please address immediate need for a sport facility we cannot wait another 5-10 years”*
Challenging the need and/or references to previous engagement completed in the community (15%)	*“Need to find out whether any of this is actually needed or wanted”*
Additional amenities (13%)	*“Please make sure you have a workout facility …indoor and outdoor machines.”*
Community space and/or program and events (10%)	*"Consider the population of Springbank who are aging and wish to remain in their home through older adulthood. Amenities that keep them here in Springbank and create community are key. As are facilities that cater to the many new young families in the area”*
Facility features (10%)	*“Do we need a "studio" space if have a recreational facility?”*

KEY FINDINGS: **OVERALL**

Q: What should the County consider about the two business cases? (153 respondents)

Overall, respondents focused on aspects of the business cases, including the possibility of additional amenities. However, there was a call for the County to focus on recreational infrastructure before concentrating on other aspects of the business case, as well as to maintain a community hub focus for the facilities. Others were concerned about whether these are the correct facilities for Springbank and specific aspects of the facilities that they would like changed, such as the parking.

Theme	Indicative Quotes
Recreation and/or sports focused (24%)	*"I'd like to see the sports space take priority over the event space. Or to develop a space that can be used for both"* *"Please address immediate need for a sport facility we cannot wait another 5-10 years"*
Additional amenities (18%)	*"Please make sure you have a workout facility …indoor and outdoor machines."* *"Community center needs to have a stage, kitchen facility access"*
Challenging the need and/or references to previous engagement completed in the community (15%)	*"Need to find out whether any of this is actually needed or wanted"*
Community focus (14%)	*"Consider the population of Springbank who are aging and wish to remain in their home through older adulthood. Amenities that keep them here in Springbank and create community are key. As are facilities that cater to the many new young families in the area"*
Facility features and location (13%)	*"Do we need a "studio" space if have a recreational facility?"* *"Parking, I work at and use springbank park for all seasons regularly and at times there is insufficient parking"*

KEY FINDINGS: **OVERALL**


Q: If the County moved forward with a combined facility approach, what would you like the County to consider? (135 respondents)

Overall, residents commented on aspects of the combined approach rather than the case as a whole. Comments revolved around additional amenities, stressing a focus on recreation and pathways, and a worry that the proposed facility was not what the community needed.

Theme	Indicative Quotes
Additional amenities (24%)	*"A pool, a weight lifting gym, cafes/food shops/ways to generate revenue, another ice surface. Have it accessible by the schools"*
Recreation and sports focused (15%)	*"I think the sports project are more important than a hall that will be used occasionally. Lots of young families are moving out here and we are in need of more sport facilities for them."*
Facility footprint and design (10%)	*"Parking is an essential element as everyone using the facility will drive there. Ensure that parking lots can empty out safely onto the roads without creating backups. Overflow parking lots can be considered for the future, or when events and tournaments are on."*
Challenge the need and/or utilize existing facilities (9%)	*"Community Centre complete with a fully working kitchen. The price for the park is way too high and the community wants pathways outside of the 75 acres anyway. Folks want a gym/weight facility - it is not even in the plans. There are duplicate facilities 2 KM away."*
Parks and pathways (9%)	*"Walking and cycling trails should be more of a priority. There are many indoor turf areas and places to play volleyball and basketball nearby. Outdoor recreation in this beautiful area should be prioritized for the health of our community. Gymnasium and indoor sports appeal to a limited number of residents and more people would benefit from outdoor recreation."*

KEY FINDINGS: **AMENITIES**

Q: Select 3 amenities to tell the County what amenities are most important to you. (213 respondents) Presented in percentage of respondents.




Q: Based on what you have learned about the amenities, do you have other ideas or suggestions that you would like to share with the County? (93 respondents)

The majority of respondents requested additional amenities that were not presented in the business cases presented to them. There was a particular focus on a fitness centre, as well as a pool and additional ice sheets for hockey.

Theme	Indicative Quotes
Additional amenities (46%)	*"I would like a weight room and fitness class space - parents spend a lot of time at the facility waiting on their kids sports - it would be great to have a space that we can also work out while waiting"*
Multipurpose and/or combine facilities (15%)	*"Combine the facilities in one building to reduce cost"* *"Field house that can do more than just soccer (baseball eg)"*

KEY FINDINGS: **TIMING & PHASING**

Q: Which of the 3 options do you prefer? (195 respondents) Information on the phases can be found in Appendix A. Presented in percentage of respondents.



*a full explanation of the options can be found in Appendix A

Q: What do you want the County to consider about Timing & Phasing? (119 respondents)

Respondents were mixed with what they wanted the County to consider when prioritizing. While many favoured option 2, there was a strong call to simply build anything sooner than was presented in the business cases. Others were frustrated with what was offered and the process itself.

Theme	Indicative Quotes
Concurrent builds and immediate start (24%)	*"The timelines are too long. We have been without as a community for too long"* *"Maybe combine the ideas together?"* *"Can't two facilities be built concurrently at cost savings?"*
Option 2 (23%)	*"Option 2 would bring so much more to the community as it reaches all ages and provides a solution for what Springbank is lacking"*
Challenging the need and/or Unsupportive of the process (20%)	*"None of the above"*
General Support and/or no option preference (14%)	*"I think any of these alternatives are a good use of tax dollars"*

KEY FINDINGS: **LOCATION**

Participants were presented with two maps showing two different location options. They were then asked to describe what they believed the benefits, drawbacks, and further considerations the County should make regarding them. These maps can be found in Appendix B.

Q: What are the are the values / benefits of Location 1? (139 respondents)

Theme	Indicative Quotes
Footprint and/or building design (43%)	*"Field house close to the rink is a benefit"* *"Baseball diamonds can be easily moved, and this leads to the most effective foot traffic through the facilities."*
General support of option 1 (37%)	*"Option 1 Better for current infrastructure."* *"It's central and a hub for the community already with the schools being nearby"*

Q: What are the are the values / benefits of Location 2? (76 respondents)

Theme	Indicative Quotes
Baseball diamonds (25%)	*"Honour the citizens who built and sponsor current ball parks. Important respect! No loss of a ball season. Less cost to simply provide parking"*
General support for option 2 (22%)	*"Like option 2"* *"It is central to Springbank"*
Less traffic congestion and/or parking lot locations (17%)	*"Parking is better situated to give access to the outdoor events. Less relocation of existing areas. Community centre is closer."* *"Splitting up traffic flow makes more sense"*

In summary, participants were happy with the location of the 75-acre plot the County has purchased. There were aspects of each design they preferred, such as the closeness of facilities in location 1 and the baseball diamonds not moving in location 2.

KEY FINDINGS: **LOCATION**

Q: What are the drawbacks / consequences of location 1? (71 respondents)

Theme	Indicative Quotes
Traffic concerns and/or parking (38%)	*“Not enough parking! When the High School is open and the curling and hockey surfaces at SPFAS are in use (i.e. Friday morning) there isn't enough existing parking never mind adding additional facilities.”*
Baseball diamonds and design (21%)	*“Waste of money to relocate the two baseball diamonds”* *“Fieldhouse should be bigger”*
No concerns (17%)	*“None - land is perfect for this“*

Q: What are the drawbacks / consequences of location 2? (60 respondents)

Theme	Indicative Quotes
Footprint and building location (53%)	*"Doesn't flow as well, seems more disjointed and the outdoor space is "interrupted" with the buildings”* *“Ball diamonds are currently in disrepair and parking at the SPFAS is underserved. Plus all of the comments above. Overall the footprint and plan under utilizes the area.“*
Parking and traffic concerns (20%)	*“Parking for fieldhouse is weird”* *“Congested area”*

In summary, with both locations participants were concerned that traffic would become congested and there was not enough parking offered. Further concerns for the first location are the movement of the baseball diamonds and the design of the buildings. Along the same vein, respondents thought the footprint of buildings in location 2 were also a drawback as well.

KEY FINDINGS: **LOCATION**

Q: If location 1 moved forward what would need to be considered for it to be successful? (84 respondents)

Theme	Indicative Quotes
Traffic concerns and/or parking (25%)	*"Traffic flows. Seasonal usage of the fields and field house. If both are expected to be busy in the summer, perhaps it makes more sense to separate them to alleviate peak congestion"*
Facility design (21%)	*"Not a lot of connection between the facilities…when we live 10/12 months indoors, it would make a lot more sense to make this more indoor accessible"*

Q: If location 2 moved forward what would need to be considered for it to be successful (44 respondents)

Theme	Indicative Quotes
Parking and traffic concerns (20%)	*"Easy access and traffic flow. Easy parking"*
Facility design (21%)	*"Tweaking the outdoor space a bit. Making sure connectivity of the two recreation spaces is considered properly for safe movement during the day of students from the high school to the field house when it is a greater distance."*

In summary, participants weren't completely sold by either of the locations, with residents wanting the County to keep parking and traffic congestion, as well as the overall design and position of the buildings in mind if they choose to build it. Additionally, people were split on the baseball diamonds with some wanting them to be moved, but the majority were concerned about having the baseball diamonds removed due to the history surrounding them. There was also a call to have well connected buildings and an effective, accessible path system.

KEY FINDINGS: **NEXT STEPS**

Q: Based on what you have learned about the facilities and locations, do you have other ideas or suggestions that you would like to share with the County? (124 respondents)

In summary, when asked to provide other suggestions many residents mentioned amenities they think should be added to the facility, including bike and walking paths that connect the facilities and are for residents' use. There were also comments regarding how useful people believe the facility will be and a call to continue the conversation with the community to gather feedback on items not offered.

Theme	Indicative Quotes
Additional amenities (27%)	*"It would be great to have a workout facility with gym equipment or even a swimming pool"* *"more hockey rinks."*
Parks, pathways, and bike paths (14%)	*"Please consider that the last Needs Assessment showed a higher need for outdoor amenities such as pathways. It doesn't need to be a "perfect" park, but residents will use it even if pathways are simply mowed."* *"Bike pathways connecting (facilities)"*
Challenging the need, cost concerns, utilize existing buildings (14%)	*"We aren't in favour of these projects. These projects will only serve a very tiny fraction of the Rocky View County population, but all of us will be paying for it"*
Engagement process (11%)	*"The County should ensure what is being proposed meets the current needs of all age groups of all Springbank residents based on a 25-year strategic plan"* *"Please get more feedback regarding the needs of the community before proceeding with this project."*

KEY FINDINGS: **OTHER SUGGESTIONS**

An open discussion forum was held on the project page, and in person in the form of a Mentimeter, allowing residents to share their views on next steps the County should explore for recreation in Springbank. These platforms encouraged participants to post thoughts and respond to others, fostering community dialogue, and allow participants to see other's responses and ideas.

32
Comments

Q: What would you like the County to consider as we explore next steps for recreation in Springbank?

Theme	Indicative Quotes
Multipurpose programming and combining facilities (25%)	*"Facilities and resources that are required to fulfill the needs of future population growth, including social gathering such as a cafe serving light food fare, fitness centre, facilities that focus on multi-use (ie. soccer / football, tennis / pickle ball), cross use facilities (schools/community). The infrastructure should target an expected population ten years out"*
Additional amenities (22%)	*"please add Pilates and yoga studios to phase 1 of the plan. If possible, also add a cafe serving healthy lite food and a weight training area. Walking/Cycling trails are also needed. there are already baseball diamonds and soccer fields, so I don't believe that we require more. However, a community Center is definitely needed for the community"*
Project timeline and cost (13%)	*"Springbank residents should have some sort of priority for booking facilities."* *"Let's get this going! Sooner the better!"*



THANK YOU

Thank you to all who participated in public engagement on recreation in Springbank.

APPENDIX A: PHASES INFORMATION

Information on the phases below was provided through the open house material.



APPENDIX B: MAP INFORMATION

Information on the locations were provided through the open house material.

Location Option 1



Location Option 2



APPENDIX C: OPEN HOUSE BOARDS

Below are copies of the information boards presented at the Open House.



COUNCIL DIRECTION TO DATE

SPRING 2020

Started Springbank recreation planning; endorsed County-wide Recreation Needs Assessment.

EARLY WINTER 2021

Approved Recreation & Parks Master Plan; recommended South Springbank Facilities Business Case.

SUMMER 2021

Created Stakeholder Advisory Group for input into business case.

LATE WINTER 2021

Purchased 75 acres in Springbank for future recreation facility.

FALL 2023

Began technical work on site to determine site location, servicing and cost estimates. Council allocated funds for the Event Centre (phase 1).

EARLY WINTER 2023

Recreation Governance Committee approved South Springbank Facilities Business Case, which outlined a Community Event Centre as the first phase, followed by an Indoor Recreation Facility, and finally with Parks and Pathways.

LATE WINTER 2023

Directed Administration to continue collaborating with SPFAS to refine their expansion plan to align with phase 2 of the South Springbank Facilities Business Case.

WINTER 2024

SPFAS submitted the Facility Expansion Business Case for review.

SPRING 2025

Directed Administration to collaborate with SPFAS and the Springbank Community Association on site planning and engagement; findings due Summer 2025.

BACKGROUND

2015

- The **Springbank Community Hall decommissioned**, leaving numerous local clubs, user groups, and residents without a central facility for community activities.

2020

- Rocky View County conducted a **Recreational Needs Assessment** to understand the recreational opportunities and expectations of residents.
- **Council bought 75 acres** in Springbank for recreation and Community use purposes.

2021

- The **Recreation and Parks Master Plan** identified the development of community facilities in South Springbank as a priority.
- The **South Springbank Facility Stakeholder Advisory Group** was formed for an 18-month term to incorporate community input into the project.
- **Rocky View County** met groups to seek feedback on the Business Case study.

2023

- Rocky View County **approved The South Springbank Community Facilities Business Case** for the development of Community Facilities.

How We Got Here

The Business Case studied:

- Community needs for recreation amenities and facilities.
- Phases of facility development with general timelines.
- Projected expenses, revenues, and operational expenses.

WHERE WE ARE TODAY



2023

» Council directed the Springbank Park for All Seasons to develop a **business case similar to Phase 2** of the South Springbank Business Case.

2024

» Council approved the **preliminary engineering of Phase 1** (the Community Event Centre) in the 2025 budget.

2025

» County conducts **geo-technical reviews** for appropriate building sites on the land parcel.

» The Springbank Park for All Seasons put forward its **revised business case.**

» Council asked Administration to work with the Springbank Park for All Seasons and the Springbank Community Association.

» **Public engagement** on the projects.

THE SOUTH SPRINGBANK FACILITIES BUSINESS CASE

The South Springbank Facility Business Case was divided into 3 phases of priority based on the projected construction timeline:

PHASE 1

Community Event Centre, Studio, and Multipurpose Rooms

Key Program Components

» Hall with 200 seat banquet style capacity Studio for 20 to 25 users

» 2 x Multipurpose rooms for 50 users

Estimated Gross Floor Area and Capital Costs

» 1,386 m2 or 15,000 ft2

» Total estimated capital costs $14 million land will be available for future community needs.

Est. Timeline - Short Term (1 to 5 years)

PHASE 2

Indoor Turf Field Facility/Park

Key Program Components

» Indoor turf field facility that can accommodate 7v7 soccer games

» • 3-lane walking/running track

Estimated Gross Floor Area and Capital Costs

» 3,522 m2 or 37,920 ft2

» Total estimated capital costs $18.2 million

Est. Timeline - Intermediate Term (5 to 10 years)

PHASE 3

Park

Key Program Components

» Event area for civic events, arts performance, festivals, and other gatherings

» Natural/trail area with native trees, shrubs and other vegetation

» Passive activity area that supports various pursuits

Estimated Area and Capital Costs

» 25 acres

» Total estimated capital costs $18.6 million

Est. Timeline - Intermediate/ Long Term (5 to 15 years)

THE SPRINGBANK PARK FOR ALL SEASONS EXPANSION PROJECT

Rocky View County Recreation Governance Committee directed Administration to work with SPFAS to align its Expansion Business Case with Phase 2 of the South Springbank Facilities Business Case.

The Springbank Park For All Seasons Expansion Project includes:

- Multi-use sport courts,
- An indoor turf field for training and games,
- A walking and running track,
- Supporting spaces such as spectator seating, dressing rooms, and gathering areas.
- Total sq. ft approx. 40,000



COUNCIL DIRECTION

At the April 8, 2025 Council Meeting, Council directed the County to work with the Springbank Park For All Seasons and the Springbank Community Association to:

- Finalize 'building footprint' opportunities on County-owned land for both the SPFAS Expansion Facility and the Community Event Centre, including cost savings and related alternatives;
- Host a community engagement session to gather input

All public feedback gathered will be presented to Rocky View County Council for further direction and next steps. The targeted date for the presentation is **July 22, 2025**.

Help Shape the Future of Recreation in Springbank

At today's open house, we're inviting you to share your feedback on:

1. **The proposed recreation facilities: Learn what is being considered and how we got here.**
2. **Amenities: Tell us what spaces are most important to you and your family.**
3. **Timing and phasing: Which phase of the project should be built first and why?**
4. **Location: Learn about the site map and share your view on where facilities can be placed on the site.**

Your feedback will be shared with Council on July 22 2025 to help guide planning, funding, and next steps.



THE PROPOSED RECREATION FACILITIES

This board provides a comparison of the phases and timing of the approved South Springbank Business Case, the proposed Springbank Park for All Seasons Business Case, and a new proposal based on discussions with the groups.

The South Springbank Facilities Business Case

- **Phase 1:** Community Centre - Event Space, Studio, Multipurpose Rooms
  - Size: 15,000 sqft (1,394 sqm)
  - Est. timeline – 1 to 5 years
- **Phase 2:** Fieldhouse - Indoor Turf Field, Walking / Running Track
  - Size: 37,920 sqft
  - Est. timeline – 5 to 10 years
- **Phase 3:** Park - Event Area, Natural / Trail Area, Passive Activity Area
  - Size: 25 acres
  - Est. Timeline – 5 to 15 years
- **Phase 4:** After facilities from the first 3 phases are developed, land will be available for future community needs.

The Springbank Park for all Seasons Expansion Project

- Indoor Turf Area – soccer field for indoor training and games
- Multi-Use Courts – large area to be used for activities such as volleyball and basketball
- Three lane walking / running track around the facility.
- Total est. size: 40,000 sqft

A collaborative alternative based on discussions with County, Community Association, and Park for All Season's

- **Phase 1:** Community Centre - Event Space, Studio, Multipurpose Rooms
  - GFA: 15,000sqft
  - Est. timeline – 1 to 5 years
- **Phase 2:** Fieldhouse - Indoor Turf Field,
  - Multi-Use Courts, Walking / Running Track
  - GFA: 39,650 sqft
  - Est. timeline – 1 to 5 years
- **Phase 3:** Park – Outdoor Event Area, Natural / Trail Area, Passive Activity Area, Bike Paths, Interpretive Structures, Benches / Seating
  - Est. timeline - TBD

www.rockyview.ca

AMENITIES

Following is a list of all the amenities proposed in both business cases. Use your 3 stickers to tell the County what 3 amenities are most important to you.

EVENT CENTRE

- A community hall
- Multipurpose rooms
- A studio space

RECREATION FACILITY

- A three-lane walking & running track
- An indoor turf (soccer) field facility
- Multi-use Courts for basketball, volleyball & racquet sports

PARKS & PATHWAYS

- An open space event area
- A natural and trail walking area
- A passive activity area that supports various outdoor activity

www.rockyview.ca

TIMING AND PHASING

As Council prepares to make Capital planning decisions around recreation in Springbank, residents are invited to share their priorities on which facility should be funded and constructed first.

OPTION 1

Community Centre First

The first phase of the South Springbank Business Case

The facility includes:

» A 200-person Community Hall
» Two multipurpose rooms
» A studio space

Estimated project costs are $14,000,000

The costs presented are estimates and were originally prepared in 2022. Due to inflation, market changes, and other factors, actual costs may be higher than those shown.

Est. Timeline 1 to 5 years

OPTION 2

Indoor Recreation First

The SPFAS Expansion Plan

The facility includes:

» A three-lane walking & running track
» An indoor turf field facility
» Multi-use Courts for basketball, volleyball & racquet sports

Estimated project costs are $11,500,00

Est. Timeline 5 to 10 years

OPTION 3

Park and Pathways First

The third phase of the Springbank Business Case

The facility includes:

» An open event space
» A natural and trail walking area
» A passive activity area that supports outdoor activity

Estimated project costs are $18, 600,000


The costs presented are estimates and were originally prepared in 2022. Due to inflation, market changes, and other factors, actual costs may be higher than those shown.

Est. Timeline 5 to 15 years


PROJECT LOCATION

Future recreation amenities will be built on a 75-acre site owned by Rocky View County, located next to the Springbank Park for All Seasons and the Springbank High School.

This land was purchased in 2022 to support future recreation amenities in Springbank.



Purchased Land



Springbank in Rocky View County

www.rockyview.ca

OPTION 1

Overview & Rationale

- Community Centre site – vantage point of the mountains, access from RR 33.
- Fieldhouse site – require that the baseball diamonds be moved north of the fieldhouse, with a parking lot added for parking at the diamonds.
- NW corner of the site has drainage issues due to high water table – Community Centre located to avoid this area.



Entry to Fieldhouse

Entry to Diamonds and Park

www.rockyview.ca

OPTION 2

Overview & Rationale

- Community Centre site – situated slightly more south
- Fieldhouse site - the ball diamonds would stay in their current location.
- Parking would be located North of the diamonds, with access to the pathways and greenspace.
- NW corner of the site has drainage issues due to high water table – Community Centre located to avoid this area.



Entry to Fieldhouse and Park

www.rockyview.ca

LOCATION OF THE FACILITIES

Place stickers on the aspects you like about the locations on the maps at the tables, and use post-it notes to answer the following questions:

WHAT ARE THE VALUES / BENEFITS OF EACH LOCATION?

WHAT ARE THE DRAWBACKS / CONSEQUENCES OF EACH LOCATION?

WHAT WOULD YOU LIKE THE COUNTY TO CONSIDER FOR EACH LOCATION TO BE SUCCESSFUL?

